

ICN UNILATERAL CONDUCT WORKSHOP – TOKYO 2023 BREAKOUT SESSION 1

HOW ABUSE OF SUPERIOR BARGAINING POSITION IS REGULATED IN TAIWAN?

Matt Liu

Partner, Tsar & Tsai 7 March 2023

Regulatory Overview



- The Taiwan Fair Trade Act prohibits the following abuses
 - Abuse of dominant market power
 - Abuse of non-dominant market power that is likely to harm the market competition or the trading order
- Exploitative abuse is regulated under the following three provisions
 - Art. 9 prohibiting abuse of dominance (50% market share threshold for a single firm)
 - Art. 20 prohibiting abuse of relatively strong market position in vertical restraints (market share is relevant but no clear market share threshold is prescribed)
 - Art. 25 prohibiting deceptive or obviously unfair conduct that harms the trading order (market share is irrelevant)

Abuse of Superior Market Position



- In the past, abuse of superior market position was regulated Art. 20
- Art. 20 prohibiting vertical restrictions that is likely to harm the market competition by firms with relatively strong market position
 - No market share threshold is prescribed in Art. 20
 - The TFTC's 2016 ruling sets forth the 15% market share threshold
 - Principle No harm to the market competition will be presumed if a firm's market share is lower than 15%
 - Exception If a firm abuses its superior market position or the economic dependency, likelihood to harm the market competition would be found even if its market share is lower than 15%

Policy Debate



- Controversy
 - Abuse of superior market position or economic dependency does not necessarily harm the market competition
 - The TFTC did not prove the market share in some decisions
- Art. 20 is not an appropriate tool to tackle abuse of superior bargaining position or economic dependency
- Another more appropriate provision is necessary

The TFTC's Change of Its View



- The TFTC's White Paper on Digital Economy
 - Challenges in market definition and market share calculation
- The TFTC's change of its view from Art. 20 to Art. 25
 - Abuse of superior bargaining position or economic dependency will no longer be regulated under Art. 20
 - Instead, it will be regulated under Art. 25
 - Market share of the infringing firm is irrelevant
 - Harm to the trading order is easier to be proven than harm to the market competition
 - Repetitive conduct must be proven in order to establish the harm to the trading order